arXiv:1402.3113v1 [math.FA] 13 Feb 2014

On the solution of the Cauchy problem in the weighted spaces of Beurling ultradistributions

Stevan Pilipović, Bojan Prangoski and Daniel Velinov

Abstract. Results of Da Prato and Sinestrari [6], on differential operators with non-dense domain but satisfying the Hille–Yosida condition, are applied in the setting of Beurling weighted spaces of ultradistributions $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T)\times U)$, where U is open and bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 47D03; Secondary 35A01.

Keywords. Cauchy problem, strict weak solution, F–weak solution.

0. Introduction

Da Prato and Sinestrary [6] have studied the Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), u(0) = u_0, \tag{0.1}$$

where A is a closed operator in a Banach space E with not necessarily dense domain in E but satisfying the Hille-Yosida condition. Here $u_0 \in E$, f is the E-valued continuous or L^p- function on $[0,T]$. They have considered various classes of equations and types of solutions illustrating their theory. Regularity properties of solutions is extended much later in [25].

Our aim in this paper is to extend the results of [6] for (0.1) to weighted Schwartz spaces of distributions and Beurling space of ultradistributions [8]-[10]. Since the weighted Schwartz space $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$ ([27]) can be involved in this theory similarly as Beurling type spaces, and the second ones are more delicate, we focus our investigations to the Beurling case, more precisely to the space of ultradistributions $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T)\times U))$, U is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, related to the Gevrey sequence $p!^s$, $s>1$ (see [23] for $U=\mathbb{R}^d$). In order to apply results of [6] in this abstract setting, we study the topological structure of spaces $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U), p\in[1,\infty]$ (with a special analysis for $p=\infty$), the closures of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ in such spaces, corresponding projective limits, tensor products,

their duals as well as vector valued version of such spaces. As a special result, we note that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ is nuclear for bounded U. Also we have that all spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ are isomorphic to $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ for bounded U. Both assertions do not hold for $U = \mathbb{R}^d$. The main results of the paper are related to the structure of quoted spaces. Such preparatory results are needed for the formulation of the Cauchy problem in this abstract setting and for the application of results in [6]. Our main theorem in the second part of the paper reads:

Theorem 0.1. *Let U be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with smooth boundary and $A(x, \partial_x)$ be a strongly elliptic operator of order $2m$ on U. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T) \times U)$ there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T) \times U)$ such that*

$$u'_t + A(x, \partial_x)u = f \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T) \times U).$$

In fact, we first solve (0.1) in the space of Banach valued ultradistributions $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(0,T;E)$, i.e.

$$\langle \mathbf{u}'(t), \varphi(t) \rangle = A\langle \mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{f}(t), \varphi(t) \rangle, \ \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q}(0,T),$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq E \to E$ is closed operator which satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition

$$\|(\lambda - \omega)^k R(\lambda : A)^k\| \leq C, \text{ for } \lambda > \omega, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then, by using the theory that we perviously develop, we prove the abovementioned result.

For the background material we mention [22], [21], [17], [19],[24]. Moreover, we give references for another approaches to the abstract Cauchy problem with non-densely defined A through the theory of integrated, convoluted, distribution or ultradistribution semigroups, [1]-[5], [7], [11]-[16], [20], [18].

The paper is organized as follows.

The Banach space $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ and its dual $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ are explained in Section 1. Section 2 is devoted to the Beurling type test spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ and their corresponding duals. In Section 3 we consider the vector valued ultradistribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$, where U is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The boundness of U is important since it implies nuclearity of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ which in turn will imply a very important kernel theorem when E is equal to $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$. In the end of this section we are particulary interested in the spaces $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U;E)$ when E is a Banach space. We start Section 4 by defining the Banach space $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ consisting of sequences of Bochner L^p functions with certain growth condition. In this abstract setting we define the Cauchy problem (0.1) and recall from [6] two types of solutions of (0.1). Then, using the proof in [6] we prove the existence of such solutions in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ and use this to prove existence of solution of (0.1) in the space of Banach-valued ultradistributions $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(0,T;E)$. We apply in Section 5 results of Section 4 for several important instances of A and E considered by Da Prato and Sinestrari in [6], but in our ultradistributional setting. The

main part is the proof of the theorem that we announced above by using the theory developed in the Sections 1–3.

0.1. Preliminaries

The sets of natural, integer, positive integer, real and complex numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$. We use the symbols for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $\langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$, $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_d^{\alpha_d}$, $D_j^{\alpha_j} = i^{-1}\partial^{\alpha_j}/\partial x^{\alpha_j}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

Let $s > 1$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set. Following Komatsu [8], for a compact set $K \subseteq U$, define $\mathcal{E}^{s,h}(K)$ as the Banach space (from now on abbreviated as (B)-space) of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ which satisfy $\sup\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \sup\limits_{x\in K} \dfrac{|D^\alpha\varphi(x)|}{h^{|\alpha|}\alpha!^s} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{D}_K^{s,h}$ as the (B)-space of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ with support in K, which satisfy $\sup\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \sup\limits_{x\in K} \dfrac{|D^\alpha\varphi(x)|}{h^\alpha\alpha!^s} < \infty$. Define the spaces

$$\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(U) = \varprojlim_{K\subset\subset U} \varprojlim_{h\to 0} \mathcal{E}^{s,h}(K), \quad \mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}(U) = \varprojlim_{K\subset\subset U} \varinjlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{E}^{s,h}(K),$$

$$\mathcal{D}_K^{(s)} = \varprojlim_{h\to 0} \mathcal{D}_K^{s,h}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U) = \varinjlim_{K\subset\subset U} \mathcal{D}_K^{(s)},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_K^{\{s\}} = \varinjlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}_K^{s,h}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\{s\}}(U) = \varinjlim_{K\subset\subset U} \mathcal{D}_K^{\{s\}}.$$

The spaces of ultradistributions and ultradistributions with compact support of Beurling and Roumieu type are defined as the strong duals of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}^{\{s\}}(U)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}(U)$. For the properties of these spaces, we refer to [8], [9] and [10].

It is said that $P(\xi) = \sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} c_\alpha\xi^\alpha$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is an ultrapolynomial of the class (s), resp. $\{s\}$, whenever the coefficients c_α satisfy the estimate $|c_\alpha| \leq CL^{|\alpha|}/\alpha!^s$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ for some $L > 0$ and $C > 0$, resp. for every $L > 0$ and some $C_L > 0$. The corresponding operator $P(D) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha D^\alpha$ is an ultradifferential operator of the class (s), resp. $\{s\}$ and they act continuously on $\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\{s\}}(U)$ and the corresponding spaces of ultradistributions.

1. Banach spaces of weighted ultradistributions

1.1. Basic Banach spaces

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$ be the space of all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ such that the norm $\left(\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \dfrac{h^{p|\alpha|}\,\|D^\alpha\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}}{\alpha!^{ps}}\right)^{1/p}$ is finite (with the obvious meaning when $p = \infty$). One can simply prove:

Lemma 1.1. *$\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$ is a (B)-space, when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Let$1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ be such that $\dfrac{1}{p} + \dfrac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ denotes the closure of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ in $\mathcal{D}^{s}_{L^p,h}(U)$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ the strong dual of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$. Then, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is continuously injected in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We will denote by $\mathcal{C}_0(U)$ the space of all continuous functions f on U such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \subset\subset U$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ when $x \in U \backslash K$. We leave the proof of the next lemma to the reader.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $K \subset\subset U$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in U \backslash K} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} \, |D^\alpha \varphi(x)|}{\alpha!^s} \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \sup_{|\alpha| \geq k} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} \, \|D^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^\infty(U)}}{\alpha!^s} \leq \varepsilon.$$

1.2. Duals of Banach spaces

The main goal in this subsection is to give a representation of the elements of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In order to do that, first we will construct a (B)-space which will contain $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ as a closed subspace. It is worth to note that the main idea of this constructions is due to Komatsu [8].

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ define

$$Y_{h,L^p} = \Big\{ (\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \Big| \, \psi_\alpha \in L^p(U), \, \|(\psi_\alpha)_\alpha\|_{Y_{h,L^p}} =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{h^{p|\alpha|} \, \|\psi_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)}}{\alpha!^{ps}} \right)^{1/p} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Then one easily verifies that Y_{h,L^p} is a (B)-space, with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y_{h,L^p}}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $p = \infty$. Define

$$Y_{h,L^\infty} = \left\{ (\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \Big| \, \psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0(U), \, \lim_{|\alpha| \to \infty} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} \, \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)}}{\alpha!^s} = 0 \right\},$$

with the norm $\|(\psi_\alpha)_\alpha\|_{Y_{h,L^\infty}} = \sup\limits_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \dfrac{h^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!^s} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)}$. One easily verifies that it is a (B)-space.

Let $\tilde{U}$ be the disjoint union of countable number of copies of U, one for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, i.e. $\tilde{U} = \bigsqcup\limits_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} U_\alpha$, where $U_\alpha = U$. Equip $\tilde{U}$ with the disjoint union topology. Then $\tilde{U}$ is Hausdorff locally compact space. Moreover every open set in $\tilde{U}$ is σ-compact. For each $1 \leq p < \infty$, one can define a Borel measure μ_p on $\tilde{U}$ by $\mu_p(E) = \sum\limits_\alpha \dfrac{h^{|\alpha|p}}{\alpha!^{ps}} |E \cap U_\alpha|$, for E a Borel subset of $\tilde{U}$, where $|E \cap U_\alpha|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $E \cap U_\alpha$. It is obviously locally finite, σ-finite and $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact subset K of $\tilde{U}$. By the properties of $\tilde{U}$ described above, μ_p is regular (both inner and outer regular). We obtained

that μ_p is a Radon measure. It follows that Y_{h,L^p} is exactly $L^p(\tilde{U},\mu_p)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In particular, Y_{h,L^p} is a reflexive (B)-space for $1 < p < \infty$. For $p = \infty$, we will prove that Y_{h,L^∞} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$. For $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$ denote by ψ_α the restriction of ψ to U_α. By the definition of $\tilde{U}$, K is compact subset of $\tilde{U}$ if and only if $K \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for only finitely many $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and for those α, $K \cap U_\alpha$ is compact subset of U_α. Now, one easily verifies that $\psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0(U)$ and $\lim\limits_{|\alpha|\to\infty} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)} = 0$. Moreover, if $\psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, are such that $\lim\limits_{|\alpha|\to\infty} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)} = 0$ then the function ψ on $\tilde{U}$, defined by $\psi(x) = \psi_\alpha(x)$, when $x \in U_\alpha$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$. We obtain that

$$\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U}) = \left\{ (\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \,\middle|\, \psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0(U),\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d,\ \lim_{|\alpha|\to\infty} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Observe that the mapping $(\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \mapsto (\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$, where $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha = \dfrac{h^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!^s}\psi_\alpha$, is an isometry from Y_{h,L^∞} onto $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$. For the purpose of the next proposition we will denote by ι the inverse mapping of this isometry, i.e. $\iota : \mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U}) \to Y_{h,L^\infty}$.

Note that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of Y_{h,L^p} by the mapping $\varphi \mapsto ((-D)^\alpha\varphi)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$. This is obvious for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and for $p = \infty$ it follows from Lemma 1.2. Since Y_{h,L^p} is reflexive for $1 < p < \infty$ so is $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ as a closed subspace of a reflexive (B)-space.

Observe that spaces $L^p(U)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, resp, $(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$, are continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $F \in L^p(U)$, resp. $F \in (\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$, we define $D^\alpha F \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, resp. $D^\alpha F \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^\alpha F, \varphi\rangle &= \int_U F(x)(-D)^\alpha\varphi(x)dx,\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U),\ \text{resp.} \\ \langle D^\alpha F, \varphi\rangle &= \int_U (-D)^\alpha\varphi(x)dF,\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $D^\alpha F$ is well defined element of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$, and in fact it is equal to its ultradistributional derivative when we regard F as an element of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. For every $T \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, there exist $C > 0$ and $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)} \right)^{1/p} \leq C \text{ and } T = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

When $p = 1$, for every $T \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$, there exist $C > 0$ and Radon measures $F_\alpha \in (\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|F_\alpha\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'} \leq C \text{ and } T = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, if B is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, then there exists $C > 0$ independent of $T \in B$ and for each $T \in B$ there exist $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, resp. $F_\alpha \in (\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for $p = 1$, such that (1.1), resp. (1.2), holds.

If $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, resp. $F_\alpha \in (\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for $p = 1$, are such that $\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p} < \infty$, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, resp. $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|F_\alpha\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'} < \infty$, for $p = 1$, then the series $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$.

Proof. Let Y_{h,L^q} be as in the above discussion. Extend T by the Hahn-Banach theorem to a continuous functional on Y_{h,L^q} and denote it again by T, for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. For $q = \infty$, $\tilde{T} = T \circ \iota$ is a functional on $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$. Then, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, there exists $g \in L^p(\tilde{U}, \mu_q)$ such that $T\left((\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\right) = \int_{\tilde{U}} (\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} g d\mu_q$, $(\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \in Y_{h,L^q}$. For $p = 1$, there exists $g \in \left(\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})\right)'$ such that $\tilde{T}(\psi) = \int_{\tilde{U}} \psi dg$, for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$. Hence, for $(\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \in Y_{h,L^\infty}$, we have

$$T\left((\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\right) = \tilde{T}\left((\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\right) = \int_{\tilde{U}} (\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} dg,$$

where $(\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)_\alpha = \iota^{-1}\left((\psi_\alpha)_\alpha\right) = \left(\frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!^s}\psi_\alpha\right)_\alpha$. Put $F_\alpha = \frac{h^{|\alpha|q}}{\alpha!^{qs}} g_{|U_\alpha}$, for $1 \leq q < \infty$. For $q = \infty$, put $F_\alpha = \frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!^s} g_{|U_\alpha}$. Then $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, for $1 \leq q < \infty$, respectively $F_\alpha \in (\mathcal{C}_0(U))'$ for $q = \infty$. Moreover, for $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|q}}{\alpha!^{qs}} \left\|g_{|U_\alpha}\right\|^p_{L^p(U)} = \|g\|^p_{L^p(\tilde{U},\mu_q)} < \infty.$$

Also, it is easy to verify that, for $q = 1$, $\sup_\alpha \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|F_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)} = \|g\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{U},\mu_1)} < \infty$. For $q = \infty$ we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|F_\alpha\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \left\|g_{|U_\alpha}\right\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'} = \|g\|_{\left(\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})\right)'} < \infty,$$

where in the second equality we used that $\left\|g_{|U_\alpha}\right\|_{(\mathcal{C}_0(U))'} = \left|g_{|U_\alpha}\right|(U_\alpha) = |g|(U_\alpha)$ (we denote by $|g|$ the total variation of the measure g and similarly for $g_{|U_\alpha}$). Moreover

$$T\left((\psi)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\int_U \psi_\alpha(x)F_\alpha(x)dx,$$

for $1 \le q < \infty$. For $q = \infty$ we have

$$T\left((\psi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\right) = \int_{\tilde{U}} (\tilde{\psi}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} dg = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \int_U \tilde{\psi}_\alpha dF_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\int_U \psi_\alpha dF_\alpha.$$

So, for $1 \le q < \infty$, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$, we obtain

$$\langle T,\varphi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\int_U (-D)^\alpha\varphi(x)F_\alpha(x)dx = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\langle D^\alpha F_\alpha,\varphi\rangle.$$

Similarly, $\langle T,\varphi\rangle = \sum_\alpha \langle D^\alpha F_\alpha,\varphi\rangle$ when $q=\infty$. Moreover, by these calculations, it follows that for $1 \le q < \infty$

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} |\langle D^\alpha F_\alpha,\varphi\rangle| \le \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}}\|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|q}\,\|D^\alpha\varphi\|^q_{L^q(U)}}{\alpha!^{qs}}\right)^{1/q}.$$

Hence the partial sums of $\sum_\alpha D^\alpha F_\alpha$ converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, when $1 < p \le \infty$. When $p = 1$, the proof that the partial sums of $\sum_\alpha D^\alpha F_\alpha$ converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$ is similar and we omit it. If B is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, by the Hahn-Banach theorem it can be extended to a bounded set B_1 in Y'_{h,L^q}, for $1 \le q < \infty$, resp. to a bounded set B_1 in $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})$ for $q = \infty$ (ι is an isometry). Hence, there exists $C > 0$ independent of $T \in B_1$ and for each $T \in B_1$ there exists $g \in L^p(\tilde{U},\mu_q)$, for $1 < p \le \infty$, resp. $g \in \left(\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})\right)'$, for $p = 1$, such that $\|g\|_{L^p(\tilde{U})} \le C$, resp. $\|g\|_{\left(\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{U})\right)'} \le C$. If we define F_α as above one obtains (1.1), resp. (1.2), with the desired uniform estimate independent of $T \in B$.

The last part of the proposition is easy and we omit it. □

2. Ultradistribution spaces

2.1. Beurling type test spaces

For $1 \le p \le \infty$, we define locally convex spaces (from now on abbreviated as l.c.s.) $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U) = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ is a (F)-space. Denote by $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ in $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ in $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^\infty}(U)$. Hence, when $U = \mathbb{R}^d$, these spaces coincide

with the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, resp. $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}$ defined in [23]. All of these spaces are (F)-spaces as well as $X_{L^p} = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X_{L^p} be as above and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

i) $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ is dense in X_{L^p}.

ii) X_{L^p} is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ and the topology of X_{L^p} is the same as the induced one from $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$. Hence X_{L^p} and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, resp. X_{L^∞} and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ when $p = \infty$, are isomorphic l.c.s.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ is dense in each $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ it follows that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U) \subseteq X_{L^p}$ and it is dense in X_{L^p}. The proof of $i)$ is complete. To prove $ii)$ note that $X_{L^p} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$. Let φ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence in X_{L^p} which converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ in the topology of $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$. Then φ_j converges to φ in $\mathcal{D}^{s}_{L^p,h}(U)$ for each h. But $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{s}_{L^p,h}(U)$ with the same topology. It follows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ and φ_j converges to φ in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ for each h. Hence $\varphi \in X_{L^p}$. Moreover, since the inclusion $X_{L^p} \to \mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ is obviously continuous and X_{L^p} and $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ are (F)-spaces and the image of X_{L^p} under the inclusion is closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ by the open mapping theorem it follows that X_{L^p} is isomorphic with its image under this inclusion (isomorphic as l.c.s.). □

By the above lemma we obtain that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U) = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U) = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$, for $p = \infty$ and the projective limits are reduced. For $1 < p \leq \infty$, denote by $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ the strong dual of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q}(U)$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ the strong dual of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ is continuously and densely injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q}(U)$, for $1 \leq q < \infty$ and into $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ are continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. One easily verifies that ultradifferential operators of class (s) act continuously on $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Hence they act continuously on $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $1 < p < \infty$, since all $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ are reflexive (B)-spaces, the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h_2}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h_1}(U)$, for $h_2 > h_1$ is weakly compact mapping, hence $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ is a (FS^*)-space, in particular it is reflexive.

From now on we suppose that U is bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $h_1 > h$. We have the continuous inclusions $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,2^s h}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. In particular, the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ are isomorphic among each other.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. It is obvious that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $D^\alpha \varphi \in W^{m,p}_0(U)$, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence, by the Sobolev imbedding theorem

it follows that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $D^\alpha\varphi$ extends to a uniformly continuous function on $\overline{U}$. Now, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{h^{p|\alpha|}\,\|D^\alpha\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}}{\alpha!^{ps}}\right)^{1/p} &\leq |U|^{1/p}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{h^{p|\alpha|}h_1^{p|\alpha|}\,\|D^\alpha\varphi\|^p_{L^\infty(U)}}{h_1^{p|\alpha|}\alpha!^{ps}}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\leq C|U|^{1/p}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{h_1^{|\alpha|}\,\|D^\alpha\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U)}}{\alpha!^s}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^\infty,h_1}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$ is continuous. Moreover, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$, then there exist $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, as $j \to \infty$, in $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$. But then $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, as $j \to \infty$, in $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. It follows that for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $D^\alpha\varphi$ can be extended to a uniformly continuous function on $\overline{U}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,2^sh}(U)$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $mp > d$. Denote by $C_1 = \max\limits_{|\alpha|\leq m}\alpha!^s/h^{|\alpha|}$. By the Sobolev imbedding theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{h^{|\beta|}\|D^\beta\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U)}}{\beta!^s} &\leq C'\frac{h^{|\beta|}}{\beta!^s}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\|D^{\alpha+\beta}\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\leq C'\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\frac{h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)p}\alpha!^{ps}}{\beta!^{ps}\alpha!^{ps}h^{|\alpha|p}}\|D^{\alpha+\beta}\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\leq C'C_1\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\frac{(2^sh)^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)p}}{(\alpha+\beta)!^{ps}}\|D^{\alpha+\beta}\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p}\\ &\leq C'C_1\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{(2^sh)^{|\gamma|p}}{\gamma!^{ps}}\|D^\gamma\varphi\|^p_{L^p(U)}\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,2^sh}(U)$ is continuously injected in $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. Moreover, if $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are such that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, when $j \to \infty$, in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,2^sh}(U)$, then $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, when $j \to \infty$, in $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,2^sh}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. □

Proposition 2.2 implies that, we no longer need to distinguish the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p}(U)$ since they are all isomorphic to $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Hence their duals are all isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let U be bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

i) *Let $h > 0$ be fixed. Every element φ of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, can be extended to $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with support in $\overline{U}$. Moreover $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$;*

ii) *$\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{\overline{U}}$;*

iii) *$\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is a nuclear (FS)-spaces. Moreover, in the representation $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U) = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$, the linking inclusions in the projective limit $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$ are compact for $h_1 > h$.*

Proof. To prove the first part of $i)$, note that by Proposition 2.2, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h/2^s}(U)$. Hence it is enough to prove it for $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. Then there exist $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $\varphi_j \to \varphi$, as $j \to \infty$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$. So for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for $j, k \geq j_0$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} \left\|D^\alpha\varphi_k - D^\alpha\varphi_j\right\|_{L^\infty(U)}}{\alpha!^s} \leq \varepsilon$. Since all φ_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, have compact support in U and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$ we obtain that

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} \left\|D^\alpha\varphi_k - D^\alpha\varphi_j\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\alpha!^s} \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $j, k \geq j_0$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence, φ_j is a Cauchy sequence in the (B)-space $\mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$ so it must converge to an element $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$. Hence $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$, when $x \in U$ and obviously $\psi(x) = 0$ when $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash U$ (since all φ_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, have compact support in U). This proofs the first part of $i)$. To prove the second part, consider the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \widetilde{\varphi}$, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$, where $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$, when $x \in U$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = 0$, when $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash U$. By the above discussion, this is well defined mapping. Moreover, one easily sees that it is an isometry, which completes the proof of $i)$. Observe that $ii)$ follows from $i)$ since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U) = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{\overline{U}} = \varprojlim_{h\to\infty} \mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$. The first part of $iii)$ follows from $ii)$ since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is a closed subspace of the nuclear (FS)-space $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{\overline{U}}$ (Komatsu in [8] proves the nuclearity of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{\overline{U}}$ when $\overline{U}$ is regular compact set, but the proof is valid for general $\overline{U}$; the regularity of $\overline{U}$ is used by Komatsu [8] for the definition and nuclearity of $\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(\overline{U})$). For the second part, by Proposition 2.2 of [8] the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{s,h_1}_{\overline{U}} \to \mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$ is compact. Since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$, is closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{s,h_1}_{\overline{U}}$, resp. $\mathcal{D}^{s,h}_{\overline{U}}$, we obtain the compactness of the inclusion under consideration. □

2.2. Weighted Beurling spaces of ultradistributions

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$. For every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ there exist $h, C > 0$ and $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^\infty(U)} \right)^{1/p} \leq C \text{ and } T = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} D^\alpha F_\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where the last series converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$. Moreover, if B is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then there exist $h, C > 0$ independent of $T \in B$ and for each $T \in B$ there exist $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that (2.1) holds.

Conversely, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, are such that $\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^p} \right)^{1/p} < \infty$ for some $h > 0$ then the series $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ and hence also in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$.

Proof. We will prove first the second part of the proposition. If $F_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, are as above, the absolute convergence of $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ follows by proposition 1.3 for $1 < p \leq \infty$ and can be easily verified for $p = 1$. By Proposition 2.2, $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is continuously and densely injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$, where q is the conjugate of p, i.e. $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ (the part about the denseness follows from the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U) \subseteq \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$). Hence $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and we obtain that $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_\alpha$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$.

To prove the first part, we fix $1 < p \leq \infty$ and let q to be the conjugate of p. Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U) = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h}(U)$ and the projective limit is reduced with compact linking mappings (cf. Proposition 2.3), $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U) = \varinjlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$ as l.c.s., where the inductive limit is injective with compact linking mappings. If B is bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ there exists $h_1 > 0$ such that $B \subseteq \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$ and is bounded there. By proposition 2.2, if we take $h = 2^s h_1$, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$. Obviously, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$ (since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ is). We obtain that $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h_1}(U)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. Hence B is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. Now, by

Proposition 1.3, for each $T \in B$ there exist $\tilde{F}_\alpha \in L^p(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p|\alpha|}} \|\tilde{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U)} \right)^{1/p} \leq C' \text{ and } T = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} D^\alpha \tilde{F}_\alpha$$

and the constant C' is the same for all $T \in B$. Let $L(x) \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ be a fundamental solution of $\Delta^d L = \delta$ (Δ is the Laplacian). Define $G_\alpha(x) = \int_U L(x-y)\tilde{F}_\alpha(y)dy$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Obviously $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\|G_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq C_1 \|\tilde{F}_\alpha\|_{L^p(U)}$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Hence $\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p|\alpha|}} \|G_\alpha\|^p_{L^\infty(U)} \right)^{1/p} \leq C_2$ and C_2 is independent of $T \in B$. Let $\Delta^d = \sum_\beta c_\beta D^\beta$ and define $F_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_\beta G_{\alpha-\beta}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The obviously $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Note that $c_\beta \neq 0$ only for finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Put $C_3 = \sum_\beta \frac{\beta!^s}{h^{|\beta|}} |c_\beta|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{(2^{s+1}h)^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_\alpha\|^p_{L^\infty(U)} \right)^{1/p} \\
&\quad \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|p}} \left(\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{(\alpha-\beta)!^s \beta!^s}{h^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} h^{|\beta|}} |c_\beta| \, \|G_{\alpha-\beta}\|_{L^\infty(U)} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\quad \leq C_2 C_3 \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|p}} \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

and the last is independent of $T \in B$. Now one easily obtains that $T = \sum_\alpha D^\alpha F_\alpha$ which completes the first part of the proposition when $1 < p \leq \infty$. Note that the case $p = 1$ follows from this for any $\tilde{h} > h$. □

3. Vector-valued spaces of ultradistributions

Let now E be a complete l.c.s. As we saw above, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, are continuously injected in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$. Following Komatsu [10], (see also [15]) we define the spaces $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, of E-valued ultradistributions of type $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ respectively, as

$$\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E) = \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\varepsilon E = \mathcal{L}_\epsilon \left(\left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U) \right)'_c, E \right), \text{ resp.} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E) = \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)\varepsilon E = \mathcal{L}_\epsilon \left(\left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U) \right)'_c, E \right). \quad (3.2)$$

The subindex c stands for the topology of compact convex circled convergence on the dual of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, from the duality

$$\left\langle \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U), \left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\right)' \right\rangle, \text{ resp. } \left\langle \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U), \left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)\right)' \right\rangle.$$

If we denote by ι, resp. ι_p, the inclusion $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U) \to \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U) \to \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)$, then $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$, is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U;E) = \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U)\varepsilon E = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U), E\right)$ by the mapping $\iota\,\varepsilon\,\mathrm{Id}$, resp. $\iota_p\,\varepsilon\,\mathrm{Id}$ (cf. [10]). In [28] is proved that when both spaces are complete. The same holds for their ε tensor product. Hence, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ are complete. Since $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ are barrelled (the former is a (DFS)-space as the strong dual of a (FS)-space, hence barrelled), every bounded subset of $\left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\right)'_c$ or $\left(\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)\right)'_c$ is equicontinuous (and vice versa). Hence, the ϵ topology on the right hand sides of (3.1) and (3.2) is the same as the topology of bounded convergence. Moreover, since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is a (FS)-space and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ is a (DFS)-space they are both Montel spaces. Hence $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E) = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U), E\right)$. For $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E) = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)_c, E\right)$, since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ are reflexive, where $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)_c$ is the space $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ equipped with topology of compact convex circled convergence from the duality $\left\langle \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U), \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U) \right\rangle$. Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is a nuclear (FS)-space (by Proposition 2.3) $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ is a nuclear (DFS)-space and hence it satisfies the weak approximation property by Corollary 2 pg.110 of [26] (for the definition of the weak approximation property see [28]). Hence Proposition 1.4 of [10] implies $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E) = \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\hat{\otimes}E$ where the π and the ϵ topologies coincide on $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\hat{\otimes}E$ since $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ is nuclear. Later we will need the following kernel theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let U_1 and U_2 be bounded open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d_1}_x$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d_2}_y$ respectively. Then we have the following canonical isomorphisms of l.c.s.*

i) $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1)\hat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2) \cong \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$.

ii) $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2) \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1 \times U_2) \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)\varepsilon\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$
$\cong \mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1), \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)\right) \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left(U_1; \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)\right) \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left(U_2; \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)\right)$.

Proof. First we prove *i)*. Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ are nuclear (Proposition 2.3) the π and the ϵ topologies coincide on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$. Moreover, one easily verifies that $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ can be regarded as a subspace of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$ by identifying $\varphi \otimes \psi$ with $\varphi(x)\psi(y)$. Since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$ is continuously and densely injected in $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_2)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$ (see Theorem 2.1 of [9]) we obtain that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U_2)$ and hence $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ is a dense subspace

of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$. Observe that the bilinear mapping $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi(x)\psi(y)$, $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \times \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2) \to \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$ is continuous (it is separately continuous and hence continuous since all spaces under consideration are (F)-spaces). We obtain that the π topology on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ is stronger than the induced one by $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$. Hence, to obtain $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \hat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2) \cong \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$, it is enough to prove that the ϵ topology on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ is weaker than the induced one by $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$. Let A' and B' be equicontinuous subsets of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$ respectively. Hence, there exist $h, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{T \in A'} |\langle T, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sup_{x,\alpha} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |D^\alpha \varphi(x)|}{\alpha!^s} \text{ and } \sup_{S \in B'} |\langle S, \psi \rangle| \leq C \sup_{y,\beta} \frac{h^{|\beta|} \left|D^\beta \psi(y)\right|}{\beta!^s}$$

Then for $\chi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$, $T \in A'$ and $S \in B'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T(x) \otimes S(y), \chi(x,y) \rangle| \\ &= |\langle T(x), \langle S(y), \chi(x,y) \rangle \rangle| \leq C \sup_{x,\alpha} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |\langle S(y), D_x^\alpha \chi(x,y) \rangle|}{\alpha!^s} \\ &\leq C^2 \sup_{x,y,\alpha,\beta} \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \chi(x,y)\right|}{\alpha!^s \beta!^s} \leq C^2 \sup_{x,y,\alpha,\beta} \frac{(2^s h)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \chi(x,y)\right|}{(\alpha+\beta)!^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that the ϵ topology is weaker than the topology induced by $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1 \times U_2)$.

ii) Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)$ are nuclear (FS)-spaces (by Proposition 2.3), $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$ are nuclear (DFS)-spaces. Hence the π and the ϵ topologies on the tensor product $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$ coincide and by *i*) (using the fact that $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$ are nuclear (DFS)-spaces) we have $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1 \times U_2) \cong \left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1) \hat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_2)\right)' \cong \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_2)$. Other isomorphisms in the assertion on U follow by the discussion before the theorem. □

3.1. Banach-valued ultradistributions

Let now E be a (B)-space and denote by $L^p(U; E)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Bochner L^p space. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{L^\infty}(U)$ (the space of bounded continuous functions on U) and $\mathbf{F} \in L^1(U; E)$ then one easily verifies that $\varphi \mathbf{F} \in L^1(U; E)$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *(variant of du Bois-Reymond lemma for Bochner integrable functions) Let* $\mathbf{F} \in L^1(U; E)$ *is such that* $\int_U \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx = 0$ *for all* $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$. *Then* $\mathbf{F}(x) = 0$ *a.e.*

Proof. Observe first that for each $e' \in E'$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$, we have

$$\int_U e' \circ \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx = e'\left(\int_U \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx\right) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(U)$, by the du Bois-Reymond lemma it follows that $e' \circ \mathbf{F} = 0$ a.e. for each $e' \in E'$. Since $\mathbf{F}$ is strongly measurable $\mathbf{F}(U)$ is separable subset of E. Let D be a countable dense subset of $\mathbf{F}(U)$. Denote by L the set of all finite linear combinations of the elements of D with scalars from $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$. Then L is countable. Denote by $\tilde{E}$ the closure of L in E. Then $\tilde{E}$ is a separable (B)-space and $\mathbf{F}(U) \subseteq \tilde{E}$. Thus $\tilde{E}'_\sigma$ is separable (by Theorem 1.7 of Chapter 4 of [26]; σ stands for the weak* topology). Let $\tilde{V} = \{\tilde{e}'_1, \tilde{e}'_2, \tilde{e}'_3, ...\}$ be a countable dense subset of $\tilde{E}'_\sigma$. Extend each $\tilde{e}'_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by the Hahn-Banach theorem to a continuous functional of E and denote this extension by e'_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Arguments given above imply that $e'_j \circ \mathbf{F} = 0$ a.e. for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and in fact $\tilde{e}'_j \circ \mathbf{F} = 0$ a.e., $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, since e'_j is extension of $\tilde{e}'_j$ and $\mathbf{F}(U) \subseteq \tilde{E}$. Hence $P_j = \{x \in U | \, \tilde{e}'_j \circ \mathbf{F}(x) \neq 0\}$ is a set of measure 0, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and so is $P = \bigcup_j P_j$. We will prove that $\mathbf{F}(x) = 0$ for every $x \in U \backslash P$. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in U \backslash P$ such that $\mathbf{F}(x_0) \neq 0$. Then there exists $\tilde{e}' \in \tilde{E}'$ such that $\tilde{e}' \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0) \neq 0$ i.e. $|\tilde{e}' \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0)| = c > 0$. Then there exists $\tilde{e}'_k \in \tilde{V}$ such that $|\tilde{e}' \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0) - \tilde{e}'_k \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0)| \leq c/2$. Since $\tilde{e}'_k \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0) = 0$, by the definition of P, we have

$$c = |\tilde{e}' \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0)| \leq |\tilde{e}' \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0) - \tilde{e}'_k \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0)| + |\tilde{e}'_k \circ \mathbf{F}(x_0)| \leq c/2,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathbf{F}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in U \backslash P$ and the proof is complete. □

Denote by δ_x the delta ultradistribution concentrated at x. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x \in U$ one easily verifies that $D^\alpha \delta_x \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h}(U)$ for any $h > 0$ and hence, by Proposition 2.2, $D^\alpha \delta_x \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ for any $h > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For the next proposition we need the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $h > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The set $G_\alpha = \{D^\alpha \delta_x | \, x \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is precompact in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$.*

Proof. Let $0 < h_1 < h/2^s$. By Proposition 2.2 we have the continuous inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h/2^s}(U)$. Proposition 2.3 implies that the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h/2^s}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$ is compact. Hence we have the compact dense inclusion $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U) \to \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$ (the denseness follows from the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$). So, the dual mapping $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h_1}(U) \to \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is compact inclusion. Observe that, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)$, $|\langle D^\alpha \delta_x, \varphi \rangle| \leq \dfrac{\alpha!^s}{h_1^{|\alpha|}} \|D^\alpha \varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^\infty,h_1}(U)}$, $\forall x \in U$. Hence G_α is bounded in the (B)-space $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1,h_1}(U)$, thus precompact in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Each $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(U;E)$ can be regarded as an E-valued ultradistribution by $\overline{\mathbf{F}}(\varphi) = \displaystyle\int_U \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx$. In this way $L^p(U;E)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(U;E)$. First we will prove that $L^p(U;E)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$. If $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ then

$$\left\| \int_U \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx \right\|_E \leq \int_U \|\mathbf{F}(x)\|_E |\varphi(x)|dx \leq \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(U;E)} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(U)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since U is bounded, $\|\varphi\|_{L^q(U)} \leq |U|^{1/q}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(U)}$. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{F}} \in \mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U), E\right) = \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ and the mapping $\mathbf{F} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{F}}$ is continuous from $L^p(U;E)$ into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$. To prove that it is injective let $\overline{\mathbf{F}} = 0$ i.e. $\int_U \mathbf{F}(x)\varphi(x)dx = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Since U is bounded $L^p(U;E) \subseteq L^1(U;E)$. Now, Lemma 3.2 implies that $\mathbf{F} = 0$.

Next, we prove that $L^p(U;E)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Consider the set $G = \{\delta_x |\, x \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$. It is precompact in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ by Lemma 3.3. Fix $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(U;E)$ and note that (3.3) still holds when $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$. Let $V = \{e \in E |\, \|e\|_E \leq \varepsilon\}$ be a neighborhood of zero in E and $\tilde{G} = \dfrac{\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(U;E)}|U|^{1/q}}{\varepsilon} G$. Since G is precompact so is $\tilde{G}$. But then, for $\varphi \in \tilde{G}^\circ$,

$$\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(U;E)}\|\varphi\|_{L^q(U)} \leq |U|^{1/q}\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(U;E)} \sup_{x\in U} |\langle \delta_x, \varphi\rangle| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\overline{\mathbf{F}}(\varphi) \in V$ for all $\varphi \in \tilde{G}^\circ$. We obtain that $\overline{\mathbf{F}} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)_c, E\right)$ since the topology of precompact convergence on $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ coincides with the topology of compact convex circled convergence ($\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$ is a (B)-space). The continuity of the mapping $\mathbf{F} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{F}}$ follows from (3.3) since the bounded sets of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ are the same for the initial topology and the topology of compact convex circled convergence. The proof of the injectivity is the same as above. □

By Proposition 3.4, from now on we will use the same notation for $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(U;E)$ and its image in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\mathbf{F} \in L^p(U;E)$, $1 < p < \infty$, define $D^\alpha\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ by

$$D^\alpha\mathbf{F}(\varphi) = \int_U \mathbf{F}(x)(-D)^\alpha\varphi(x)dx,\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U).$$

As in Proposition 3.4, one can prove that this is well defined element of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$. One only has to use the set G_α from Lemma 3.3 instead $G = \{\delta_x |\, x \in U\}$. Observe that $D^\alpha\mathbf{F}$ coincides with the ultradistributional derivative of $\mathbf{F}$ when we regard $\mathbf{F}$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ or $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}(U;E)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in L^p(U;E)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, are such that, for some fixed $h > 0$, $\left(\sum_\alpha \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p}\right)^{1/p} < \infty$. Then the partial sums $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{n} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha$ converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}(U;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$.*

The partial sums converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ also in the cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. To prove that the partial sums converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E) = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)_c, E\right)$ let B be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)_c$. Since the bounded sets of $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$ are the same for the initial topology and the topology of compact convex circled convergence we may assume that B is the closed unit ball in $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(U)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{n} \sup_{\varphi\in B} \left\| \int_U \mathbf{F}_\alpha(x)(-D)^\alpha\varphi(x)dx \right\|_E \\
&\leq \sup_{\varphi\in B} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \int_U \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(x)\|_E |D^\alpha\varphi(x)|dx \leq \sup_{\varphi\in B} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|_{L^p(U;E)} \|D^\alpha\varphi\|_{L^q(U)} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U;E)}\right)^{1/p} \cdot \sup_{\varphi\in B} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{|\alpha|q}}{\alpha!^{qs}} \|D^\alpha\varphi\|^q_{L^q(U)}\right)^{1/q},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ is complete it follows that the partial sums converge absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ to an element of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$. The proof for $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ is similar. □

Observe that each $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$ is in $L^p(U;E)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. To see this, note that $\mathbf{F}$ is separately valued since it is continuous and $\overline{U}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover it is easy to see that it is weakly measurable. Hence Pettis' theorem implies that $\mathbf{F}$ is strongly measurable. Now the claim follows since U is bounded $\|\mathbf{F}(\cdot)\|_E$ is in $L^p(U)$, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then there exists $h > 0$ and $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_\alpha \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(U;E)}\right)^{1/p} < \infty \tag{3.4}$$

and $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha$, where the series converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$.

Conversely, let $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in L^p(U;E)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, be such that (3.4) holds. Then

there exists $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$ *such that* $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha$ *and the series converges absolutely in* $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$.

Proof. First, note that the second part of the theorem follows by Theorem 3.5. To prove the first part, let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E) = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U), E\right)$. Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ is nuclear (by Proposition 2.3) and E is a (B)-space $\mathbf{f}$ is nuclear. Hence there exists a sequence e_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, in the closed unit ball of E, an equicontinuous sequence f_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ and a complex sequence λ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$, such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle f_j, \varphi \rangle e_j.$$

Since $\{f_j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$, it is bounded and by Proposition 2.4, there exist $h, C > 0$ and $F_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$ such that

$$f_j = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha F_{j,\alpha} \text{ and } \sup_j \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{|\alpha|p}} \|F_{j,\alpha}\|^p_{L^\infty(U)} \right)^{1/p} \leq C.$$

Define $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(x) = \sum_j \lambda_j F_{j,\alpha}(x) e_j$. To prove that $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$, observe that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_j F_{j,\alpha}(x) e_j \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$ and the series $\sum_j \lambda_j F_{j,\alpha}(x) e_j$ converges absolutely in the (B)-space $\mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$. Hence $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)$. Moreover

$$\frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(x)\|_E \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|F_{j,\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{U}.$$

We obtain $\sup_\alpha \frac{\alpha!^s}{h^{|\alpha|}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{C}(\overline{U};E)} < \infty$. Since U is bounded, (3.4) holds for any $h_1 > h$. One easily verifies that the series $\sum_{j,\alpha} \lambda_j \langle D^\alpha F_{j,\alpha}, \varphi \rangle e_j$ converges absolutely in E for each fixed $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Hence $\mathbf{f}(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha(\varphi)$, for each fixed $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. By Theorem 3.5, $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$, hence $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} D^\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha$. □

4. On the Cauchy problem in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$

In this section E is the (B)-space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $D(A)$ is the domain of a closed linear operator A, endowed with the graph norm $\|u\|_{D(A)} = \|u\| + \|Au\|$. We use standard notation for the symbols $R(\lambda : A)$, $\rho(A)$. The

results obtained in previous sections will often be applied in the one dimensional case (i.e. $d = 1$) when a bounded open set U is equal to the interval $(0, T)$. In this case we will use the more descriptive notations $L^p(0,T;E)$, $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(0,T)$, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(0,T)$, $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(0,T)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ for the spaces $L^p(U;E)$, $\mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(U;E)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U;E)$, respectively. Note that by Sobolev imbedding theorem, every derivative of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^p,h}(0,T)$ can be extended to uniformly continuous function on $[0,T]$. As in [6], we define the E-valued Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$ as the space of all $\mathbf{F} : [0,T] \to E$, such that $\mathbf{F}(t) = F_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{F}'(s)ds$, $t \in [0,T]$, for some $F_0 \in E$ and $\mathbf{F}'(t) \in L^p(0,T;E)$, with the norm $\|\mathbf{F}\|_{W^{1,p}(0,T:E)} = \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{F}'\|_{L^p(0,T;E)}$, $1 \le p < \infty$. Observe that if $\mathbf{F} \in W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$ then $\mathbf{F}$ is continuous function with values in E which is a.e. differentiable and its derivative is equal to $\mathbf{F}'$ a.e.

Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ as a space of all sequences $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha$, $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in L^p(0,T;E)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}} \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{4.1}$$

One easily verifies that it is a (B)-space with the norm (4.1). Each $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ generates an element of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$ by

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \varphi \rangle = \mathbf{f}(\varphi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^\alpha \int_0^T \mathbf{F}_\alpha(t) \varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)\, dt \in E.$$

Moreover, one easily verifies that the mapping $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{f}, \cdot \rangle$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E) \to \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$ is continuous.

Remark 4.1. It is worth to note that this mapping is not injective. To see this let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi \neq 0$. Take nonzero element e of E and define $\mathbf{F}(x) = \psi'(x)e$ and $\mathbf{G}(x) = \psi(x)e$, $x \in (0,T)$. Obviously $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} \in L^p(0,T;E)$, for any $1 \le p \le \infty$. Define $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ by $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}, 0, 0, ...)$ and $\mathbf{g} = (0, \mathbf{G}, 0, ...)$. Observe that, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T)$,

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \varphi \rangle = e \int_0^T \psi'(x)\varphi(x)dx = -e \int_0^T \psi(x)\varphi'(x)dx = \langle \mathbf{g}, \varphi \rangle.$$

Hence $\langle \mathbf{f}, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{g}, \cdot \rangle$ are the same element of $\mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$.

Note that $L^p(0,T;E)$ can be continuously imbedded in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ by $\mathbf{F} \mapsto (\mathbf{F}, 0, 0, \ldots)$.

Let $1 \le p < \infty$. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$ as the space of all sequences

$\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha$, where $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$ and

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)} = \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}} \left(\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{F}'_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)}\right)\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)}$, it becomes a (B)-space.
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$ is continuously injected into $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. For $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$, $\mathbf{f}' = \tilde{\mathbf{f}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_\alpha = \mathbf{F}'_\alpha$ is the classical derivative a.e. in $(0,T)$.
Moreover, the mapping $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \mathbf{f}'$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$, is continuous.

Our main assumption is that the Hille-Yosida condition holds for the resolvent of the operator A:

$$\|(\lambda-\omega)^k R(\lambda : A)^k\| \leq C, \text{ for } \lambda > \omega,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{4.2}$$

From now on we will always denote these constants by ω and C.

4.1. Various types of solutions

We need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{G}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$. Then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q}(0,T)$, $\langle \mathbf{g}, \varphi\rangle \in D(A)$ and*

$$A\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha \int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha \int_0^T A\mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt.$$

Proof. First observe that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{G}_\alpha\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in L^1(0,T;D(A))$ and $A\mathbf{G}_\alpha\varphi^{(\alpha)} \in L^1(0,T;E)$ since $\mathbf{G}_\alpha(t) \in L^p(0,T;D(A))$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q}(0,T)$. Then

$$A\int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt = \int_0^T A\mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt. \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, observe that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\left\|\int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt\right\|_{D(A)} \leq \|(\mathbf{G}_\alpha)_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))}\,\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q}(0,T)}.$$

We obtain that $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha \int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt$ converges absolutely in $D(A)$, i.e. $\langle \mathbf{g}, \varphi\rangle \in D(A)$. Hence

$$A\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha \int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha A\int_0^T \mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt,$$

which, together with (4.3), completes the proof of the lemma. □

Let $u_{0,\alpha} \in E$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}} \|u_{0,\alpha}\|_E^p\right)^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Then the constant functions $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha(t) = u_{0,\alpha}$, $t \in [0,T]$, are such that $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha \in L^p(0,T;E)$ and (4.1) holds. Hence $(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. In the sequel, if $u_{0,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, are such elements we will denote the corresponding constant functions simply by $u_{0,\alpha}$ and the element $(u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ that they generate by u_0. We also use the notation $\|u_0\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)}$ for the norm of this element of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$.

We recall from [6] the definition of two types of solutions of the Cauchy problem (0.1) (here they are restated to fit in our setting). We also define weak version of them. Let $A : D(A) \subseteq E \to E$ be a closed linear operator in the (B)-space E, $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ and $u_{0,\alpha} \in E$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. We say that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{U}_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a strict solution, respectively, strict weak solution, in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ of (0.1) if $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$ and

$$\mathbf{U}'_\alpha(t) = A\mathbf{U}_\alpha(t) + \mathbf{F}_\alpha(t),\ t \in [0,T]\ a.e. \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha},\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N},$$

respectively, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T)$ it satisfies

$$\langle \mathbf{u}'(t), \varphi(t)\rangle = A\langle \mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)\rangle + \langle \mathbf{f}(t), \varphi(t)\rangle \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha},\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.5}$$

We know by Lemma 4.2 that $\langle \mathbf{u}(t), \varphi(t)\rangle \in D(A)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T)$. Also, note that in both cases (of strict or of strict weak solution of (0.1)) we have

$$\|u_{0,\alpha}\|_E^p \le 2^p T^{-1} \|\mathbf{U}_\alpha\|_{L^p(0,T;E)} + 2^p T^{p/q} \|\mathbf{U}'_\alpha\|_{L^p(0,T;E)}.$$

Hence $u_0 = (u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ satisfies (4.4).

2. We say that $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ is an F-solution, respectively, F-weak solution in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ of (0.1), if for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\mathbf{u}_k = (\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha})_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$ such that from

$$\mathbf{U}'_{k,\alpha}(t) = A\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha}(t) + \mathbf{F}_{k,\alpha}(t),\ t \in [0,T]\ a.e. \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha}(0) = u_{0,k,\alpha}$$

we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Big(\|\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} + \\ + \|u_{0,k} - u_0\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)}\Big) = 0,$$

respectively, from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}'_k(t), \varphi(t)\rangle \quad &= \quad A\langle \mathbf{u}_k(t), \varphi(t)\rangle + \langle \mathbf{f}_k(t), \varphi(t)\rangle,\ \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T) \\ &\quad\ \text{and } \mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha}(0) = u_{0,k,\alpha},\ \forall k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

we have that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T)$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (\|\langle \mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}, \varphi\rangle\|_E + \|\langle \mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}, \varphi\rangle\|_E + \|\langle u_{0,k} - u_0, \varphi\rangle\|_E) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

From the above definitions it is clear that a strict, resp. a strict weak solution, in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ is an F-solution, resp. F-weak solution in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$.

Remark 4.3. If a strict weak solution of (0.1) in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ exists then it is not unique. To see this let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{(s)}(0,T)$ and $e \in D(A)$ such that $\psi \neq 0$ and $e \neq 0$. Define $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{V}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ by $\mathbf{V}_0(t) = \psi'(t)e$, $\mathbf{V}_1(t) = -\psi(t)e$ and $\mathbf{V}_\alpha(t) = 0$, for $\alpha \geq 2$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$ and $\mathbf{V}_\alpha(0) = 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it is easy to verify that the operators $\langle \mathbf{v}, \cdot\rangle, \langle \mathbf{v}', \cdot\rangle \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$ are in fact the zero operator. Hence, if $\mathbf{u}$ is a strict weak solution of (0.1) in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ then so is $\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}$.

One can use the same construction to prove that the F-weak solution in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ of (0.1) is also not unique.

4.2. The existence of solutions

Now we consider the existence of such solutions of the Cauchy problem (0.1).

Proposition 4.4. *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is a strict, resp. a* F*-solution, of the Cauchy problem (0.1), then it is also strict weak, resp.* F*-weak solution, of (0.1).*

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 4.2 and the fact that the mapping $\mathbf{g} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{g}, \cdot\rangle$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E) \to \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^s_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$ is continuous. □

The proof of the next theorem heavily relies on the results obtained in [6]. Parts in brackets are consequences of Proposition 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *i) The Cauchy problem (0.1) has an* F*-solution (resp. an* F*-weak solution) in* $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ *for every* $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ *and* $u_0 = (u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ *such that* $(u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ *satisfies (4.4) and* $u_{0,\alpha} \in \overline{D(A)}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. *In the case of* F*-solution, it is unique.*

ii) The Cauchy problem (0.1) has a strict solution (resp. strict weak solution) in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ *for every* $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$ *and* $u_0 = (u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ *such that* $u_{0,\alpha} \in D(A)$ *and* $Au_{0,\alpha} + \mathbf{F}_\alpha(0) \in \overline{D(A)}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $(u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ *and* $(Au_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ *satisfies (4.4). In the case of strict solution, it is unique.*

Proof. First we will prove *i*). By Theorem 7.2 of [6] (see also the Appendix of [6]) for each fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, the problem $\mathbf{U}'_\alpha = A\mathbf{U}_\alpha + \mathbf{F}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{U}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha}$ has a F-solution in $L^p(0,T;E)$. In other words, there exist $\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha} \in W^{1,p}(0,T;E) \cap L^p(0,T;D(A))$, $\mathbf{F}_{k,\alpha} \in L^p(0,T;E)$, $u_{0,k,\alpha} \in E$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $\mathbf{U}'_{k,\alpha} = A\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha} + \mathbf{F}_{k,\alpha}$, $\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha}(0) = u_{0,k,\alpha}$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Big(\|\mathbf{U}_{k,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}_\alpha\|_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{F}_{k,\alpha} - \mathbf{F}_\alpha\|_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \tag{4.7}$$

$$\|u_{0,k,\alpha} - u_{0,\alpha}\|_E\Big) = 0.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 5.1 of [6] (see also Theorem A.1 of the Appendix of [6]), each $\mathbf{U}_\alpha$ is in fact in $\mathcal{C}(0,T;E)$, $\mathbf{U}_\alpha(t) \in \overline{D(A)}$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$, $\mathbf{U}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha}$ and

$$\|\mathbf{U}_\alpha(t)\| \leq Ce^{\omega t}\left(\|\mathbf{U}_\alpha(0)\| + \int_0^t e^{-\omega s}\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(s)\|ds\right),\ t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.8}$$

Using this estimate one easily verifies that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{U}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. We will prove that this is an (F)-solution of (0.1).

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Take $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=n_k}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} \leq \frac{1}{(2k)^p},\ \sum_{\alpha=n_k}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|\mathbf{U}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} \leq \frac{1}{(2k)^p}$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{\alpha=n_k}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|u_{0,\alpha}\|^p_E \leq \frac{1}{(2k)^p}.$$

For each $0 \leq \alpha \leq n_k - 1$, by (4.7) we can take $\mathbf{F}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}$, $\mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}$ and $u_{0,k_\alpha,\alpha}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_k-1} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\Big(\|\mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{F}_{k_\alpha,\alpha} - \mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|u_{0,k_\alpha,\alpha} - u_{0,\alpha}\|^p_E\Big) \leq \frac{1}{(2k)^p}$$

and $\mathbf{U}'_{k_\alpha,\alpha} = A\mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha} + \mathbf{F}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}$, $\mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}(0) = u_{0,k_\alpha,\alpha}$. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq n_k - 1$ define $\mathbf{V}_{k,\alpha} = \mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}$, $v_{0,k,\alpha} = u_{0,k_\alpha,\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{k,\alpha} = \mathbf{F}_{k_\alpha,\alpha}$. For $\alpha \geq n_k$ put $\mathbf{V}_{k,\alpha} = 0$, $v_{0,k,\alpha} = 0$ and $\mathbf{G}_{k,\alpha} = 0$. Then $\mathbf{v}_k = (\mathbf{V}_{k,\alpha})_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$, $\mathbf{g}_k = (\mathbf{G}_{k,\alpha})_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ and $v_{0,k} = (v_{0,k,\alpha})_\alpha$ is such that $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha!)^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|v_{0,k,\alpha}\|^p_E < \infty$. Also $\mathbf{v}_k(0) = v_{0,k}$. By definition, we have $\mathbf{V}'_{k,\alpha} = A\mathbf{V}_{k,\alpha} + \mathbf{G}_{k,\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that $\mathbf{v}_k \to \mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{g}_k \to \mathbf{f}$ and $v_{0,k} \to u_0$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$, hence $\mathbf{u}$ is F-solution of (0.1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $1/k_0 \leq \varepsilon$. For $k \geq k_0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{u}\|^p_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^s_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} \\
&\quad = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_k-1} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|\mathbf{V}_{k,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \sum_{\alpha=n_k}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|\mathbf{U}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_k-1} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|\mathbf{U}_{k_\alpha,\alpha} - \mathbf{U}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \frac{\varepsilon^p}{2^p} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon^p}{2^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} \leq \varepsilon$. Similarly, $\|\mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}} \|v_{0,k,\alpha} - u_{0,\alpha}\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)}\right)^{1/p} \leq \varepsilon$, for $k \geq k_0$. It remains to prove the uniqueness. If $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ is another F-solution of (0.1) then $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha$ is a F-solution to the problem $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}'_\alpha(t) = A\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha(t) + \mathbf{F}_\alpha(t)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. But, theorem 5.1 of [6] (see also Theorem A.1 of the Appendix of [6]) implies that the F-solution to this problem must be unique, hence $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\alpha = \mathbf{U}_\alpha$ which proofs the desired uniqueness.

To prove *ii*), observe that Theorem 8.1 of [6] (see also Theorem A.2 of the Appendix of [6]) implies that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\mathbf{U}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^1(0,T;E) \cap \mathcal{C}(0,T;D(A))$ such that

$$\mathbf{U}'_\alpha(t) = A\mathbf{U}_\alpha(t) + \mathbf{F}_\alpha(t), \, \forall t \in [0,T] \text{ and } \mathbf{U}_\alpha(0) = u_{0,\alpha} \tag{4.9}$$

and it satisfy (4.8) and

$$\|\mathbf{U}'_\alpha(t)\| \leq Ce^{\omega t}\left(\|Au_{0,\alpha} + \mathbf{F}_\alpha(0)\| + \int_0^t e^{-\omega s}\|\mathbf{F}'_\alpha(s)\|ds\right), \, t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, by (4.9) and (4.10), we have

$$\|A\mathbf{U}_\alpha(t)\| \leq Ce^{2|\omega|T}\left(\|Au_{0,\alpha}\| + \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(0)\| + T^{1/q}\|\mathbf{F}'_\alpha\|_{L^p(0,T;E)}\right) + \|\mathbf{F}_\alpha(t)\|, \, t \in [0,T].$$

Since $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$ and $(u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ and $(Au_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$ satisfy (4.4), by the above estimate and (4.8) and (4.10) we can conclude $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{U}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$. Hence $\mathbf{u}$ is a strict solution. The uniqueness follows from Theorem 8.1 of [6] (see also Theorem A.2 of the Appendix of [6]) by similar arguments as in *i*). □

4.3. Solutions in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$

Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. By Theorem 3.6 for $1 < p < \infty$, there exists $h_1 > 0$ and $\mathbf{G}_\alpha \in L^p(0,T;E)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h_1^{p\alpha}} \|\mathbf{G}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} < \infty \text{ and } \mathbf{g} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}^{(\alpha)}_\alpha. \tag{4.11}$$

For the moment, for $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E) = \mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T), E\right)$, denote by $\mathbf{g}(\varphi)$ the action of $\mathbf{g}$ on $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$. On the other hand, put $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = (\mathbf{G}_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. By the way we define the operator $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \cdot \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_b\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(0,T), E\right)$, one easily verifies that $\mathbf{g}(\varphi) = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^q,h}(0,T)$. Hence, if $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ has the representation (4.11) we will denote by $\langle \mathbf{g}, \cdot \rangle$ the action $\mathbf{g}(\cdot)$.

Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ has the representation (4.11). Define $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{G}_{\alpha-1}(s)ds$, $t \in [0,T]$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, obviously, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha \in$

$W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha(0)=0$, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_\alpha=\mathbf{G}_{\alpha-1}$ a.e. for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, and if we put $h>h_1$ we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\left(\|\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)}+\|\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)}\right)<\infty. \tag{4.12}$$

By Theorem 3.6, $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha^{(\alpha)}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. Also, for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha\int_0^T\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha\int_0^T\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_{\alpha+1}(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt\\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha\int_0^T\mathbf{G}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt=\langle\mathbf{g},\varphi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\mathbf{g}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha^{(\alpha)}$. In other words, for $\mathbf{g}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ and $1<p<\infty$ we can always find $h>0$ such that $\mathbf{g}=\sum_\alpha\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha^{(\alpha)}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha\in W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha(0)=0$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}$, such that (4.12) holds. Moreover, in this notation, if we put $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}=(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_\alpha)_\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$, then $\langle\tilde{\mathbf{f}},\cdot\rangle$ and the E-valued ultradistribution $\mathbf{g}'\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ (where $\mathbf{g}'$ is the ultradistributional derivative of $\mathbf{g}$) generate the same element in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)\cong\mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T),E\right)$. To see this, for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$ we calculate as follows

$$\langle\tilde{\mathbf{f}},\varphi\rangle=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha\int_0^T\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt=-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}(-1)^\alpha\int_0^T\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha(t)\varphi^{(\alpha+1)}(t)dt$$

which is exactly the value at φ of the ultradistributional derivative of $\mathbf{g}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$.

We consider the equation $\mathbf{u}'=A\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. In other words, $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ is given, we search for $\mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ such that, for every $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\in D(A)$ and $\langle\mathbf{u}',\varphi\rangle=A\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle+\langle\mathbf{f},\varphi\rangle$. By the above discussion, for $1<p<\infty$, there exists $h>0$ and $\mathbf{F}_\alpha\in W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$, $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(0)=0$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}$, such that (4.12) holds (with $\mathbf{F}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{F}'_\alpha$ in place of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}'_\alpha$) and $\mathbf{f}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{F}_\alpha^{(\alpha)}$. If we put $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}=(\mathbf{F}_\alpha)_\alpha$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$. For $u_{0,\alpha}=0\in D(A)$ put $u_0=(u_{0,\alpha})_\alpha$. Then the conditions of Theorem 4.5 *ii)* are satisfied, hence there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=(\mathbf{U}_\alpha)_\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)\cap\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;D(A))$ which is a strict weak solution of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}'=A\tilde{\mathbf{u}}+\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. If we put $\mathbf{u}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{U}_\alpha^{(\alpha)}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$, by the above discussion, $\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\in D(A)$, $\forall\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$ (since this holds for $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$) and $\mathbf{u}$ is a solution of $\mathbf{u}'=A\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.5 this $\mathbf{u}$ as well as $\mathbf{f}$ are in fact elements of $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$. Thus, we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $A:D(A)\subseteq E\to E$ be a closed operator which satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition and $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. Then the equation $\mathbf{u}'=A\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{f}$ always has a solution $\mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$ where*

$1 < p < \infty$ and $h > 0$ are such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}} \left(\|\mathbf{F}_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} + \|\mathbf{F}'_\alpha\|^p_{L^p(0,T;E)} \right) < \infty,$$

with $\mathbf{f} = \sum_\alpha \mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}_\alpha$, where $\mathbf{F}_\alpha \in W^{1,p}(0,T;E)$, $\mathbf{F}_\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. Applications

Theorem 4.6 is applicable in variety of different situations. We collect some of them in the next proposition. First we need the following definition given in [21].

Definition 5.1. Let Ω be bounded open domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We say that $A(x,\partial_x) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2m} a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha_x$ where $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{2m}(\overline{\Omega})$, is strongly elliptic if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Re}(-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} a_\alpha(x)\xi^\alpha \geq c|\xi|^{2m},\ \forall x \in \overline{U},\ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proposition 5.2. *The operator $A : D(A) \subseteq E \to E$ is closed operator which satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition in each of the following situations:*

i) ([6]) $E = \mathcal{C}([0,1])$, $Av = -v'$, $D(A) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,1]) |\, v(0) = 0\}$;

ii) ([6]) for $\kappa \in (0,1)$, $E = \mathcal{C}^\kappa_0([0,1]) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa([0,1]) |\, v(0) = 0\}$, $Av = -v'$, $D(A) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\kappa}([0,1]) |\, v(0) = v'(0) = 0\}$;

iii) ([6]) $E = \mathcal{C}([0,1])$, $Av = v''$, $D(A) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}^2([0,1]) |\, v(0) = v(1) = 0\}$;

iv) ([6]) for Ω bounded open set with regular boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $E = \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$, $Av = \Delta v$, $D(A) = \{v \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}) |\, v_{|\partial\Omega} = 0, \Delta v \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})\}$ (here Δ is the Laplacian in the sense of distributions in Ω);

v) ([21]) let Ω be bounded open domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $A(x,\partial_x)$ be strongly elliptic. Define $E = L^p(\Omega)$, $Av = -A(x,\partial_x)v$, $D(A) = W^{2m,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{m,p}_0(\Omega)$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and for $p = 1$ define $E = L^1(\Omega)$, $Av = -A(x,\partial_x)v$, $D(A) = \{v \in W^{2m-1,1}(\Omega) \cap W^{m,1}_0(\Omega) |\, A(x,\partial_x)v \in L^1(\Omega)\}$.

In particular, for $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$, the equation $\mathbf{u}'_t = A\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}$ always has solution in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$.

Proof. The facts that $A : D(A) \subseteq E \to E$ is closed operator which satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition when A and E are defined as in $i) - iv)$ are proven in Section 14 of [6]. When A and E are defined as in $v)$ Theorem 7.3.5, pg. 214, of [21] for the case $1 < p < \infty$, resp. Theorem 7.3.10, pg. 218, of [21] for the case $p = 1$, implies that A is closed operator which satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition (in fact these theorems state that A is the infinitesimal generator of analytic semigroup on $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$). Now, the fact that the equation $\mathbf{u}'_t = A\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}$ has solution in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ follows from Theorem 4.6. □

5.1. Parabolic equation in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$

In this subsection U is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with smooth boundary. For the brevity in notation, let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(U)$, resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(U)$, be the space $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(0,T;E)$, resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{1,p},h}(0,T;E)$, when $E=\mathbb{C}$. Also, for $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, by $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{k,p},h}(U)$ we denote the space of all sequences $(F_\alpha)_\alpha$, $F_\alpha\in W^{k,p}(U)$, $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$, for which

$$\|(F_\alpha)_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{k,p},h}(U)}=\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{\alpha!^{ps}}{h^{p\alpha}}\|F_\alpha\|^p_{W^{k,p}(U)}\right)^{1/p}<\infty.$$

It is easy to verify that it becomes a (B)-space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{k,p},h}(U)}$.

Let $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, $A(x,\partial_x)=\sum_{|\alpha|\le 2m}a_\alpha(x)\partial_x^\alpha$, where $a_\alpha\in\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(V)$ for some open set $V\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$ and $U\subset\subset V$. We assume that $A(x,\partial_x)$ is a strongly elliptic operator. Obviously, $A(x,\partial_x)$ is continuous operator on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$ and on $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$. Denote by $\tilde{A}:D(\tilde{A})\subseteq L^2(U)\to L^2(U)$ the following unbounded operator

$$D(\tilde{A})=W^{2m,2}(U)\cap W_0^{m,2}(U),\quad \tilde{A}(\varphi)=A(x,\partial_x)\varphi,\ \varphi\in D(\tilde{A}).$$

For such $A(x,\partial_x)$ the following a priori estimate holds (see Theorem 7.3.1, pg. 212, of [21]).

Proposition 5.3. [21] *Let $A(x,\partial_x)$ be strongly elliptic operator of order $2m$ on a bounded domain U with smooth boundary ∂U in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $1<p<\infty$. Then, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}>0$ such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{2m,p}(U)}\le\tilde{C}\left(\|A(x,\partial_x)\varphi\|_{L^p(U)}+\|\varphi\|_{L^p(U)}\right),\ \forall\varphi\in W^{2m,p}(U)\cap W_0^{m,p}(U).$$

Moreover, Theorem 7.3.5, pg. 214, of [21], yields that $-\tilde{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of operators on $L^2(U)$. In particular $-\tilde{A}$ is closed and it satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition (4.2) for some $\omega,C>0$. Now we can prove the theorem announced in the introductions. Note that we need to prove the theorem for $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$, since $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^p}((0,T)\times U)$ and $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$ are isomorphic l.c.s.

Theorem 5.4. *Let U be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with smooth boundary and $A(x,\partial_x)$ strongly elliptic operator of order $2m$ on U. Then for each $f\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$ there exists $u\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$ such that $u'_t+A(x,\partial_x)u=f$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$.*

Proof. Denote by A the following unbounded operator:

$$\begin{aligned}A\tilde{f} &= (-A(x,\partial_x)F_\alpha)_\alpha\left(=(-\tilde{A}F_\alpha)_\alpha\right),\\ D(A) &= \left\{\tilde{f}=(F_\alpha)_\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{2m,2},h}(U)|\ F_\alpha\in W_0^{m,2}(U),\ \forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, obviously, $A:D(A)\subseteq\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)\to\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$ is a linear operator. Since $\tilde{A}$ is closed, by Proposition 5.3, it is easy to verify that A is closed. For

$\lambda > \omega$, define $B_\lambda : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U) \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$, by $B_\lambda(\tilde{f}) = (R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})F_\alpha)_\alpha$. For $\tilde{f} = (F_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$,

$$\|B_\lambda \tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha!^{2s}}{h^{2|\alpha|}} \|R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})F_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(U)} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda - \omega} \|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)}.$$

Hence B_λ is well defined continuous operator. For $(F_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$, by the Hille-Yosida condition for $-\tilde{A}$, Proposition 5.3 and the fact that $\tilde{A}R(\lambda : -\tilde{A}) = \mathrm{Id} - \lambda R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})$, we obtain

$$\left\| R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})F_\alpha \right\|_{W^{2m,2}(U)} \leq \tilde{C} \left(1 + \frac{C(\lambda+1)}{\lambda - \omega} \right) \|F_\alpha\|_{L^2(U)}.$$

This implies that $B_\lambda(F_\alpha)_\alpha = (R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})F_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{W^{2m,2},h}(U)$. Obviously $R(\lambda : -\tilde{A})F_\alpha \in W^{m,2}_0(U)$, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Hence, the image of B_λ is contained in $D(A)$. Conversely, for $(F_\alpha)_\alpha \in D(A)$, let $G_\alpha = (\lambda + \tilde{A})F_\alpha$, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then $(G_\alpha)_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$ and $B_\lambda(G_\alpha)_\alpha = (F_\alpha)_\alpha$. Hence, the image of B_λ is $D(A)$. Also, $(\lambda - A)B_\lambda = \mathrm{Id}$ and $B_\lambda(\lambda - A) = \mathrm{Id}$. We obtain that $\lambda > \omega$ is in the resolvent of A, $R(\lambda : A) = B_\lambda$, and similarly as above one can prove that $\|(\lambda - \omega)^k R(\lambda : A)^k\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)\right)} \leq C$, i.e. A satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition.

We want to solve the equation $u'_t(t,x) + A(x,\partial_x)u(t,x) = f(t,x)$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}((0,T)\times U)$. For the simplicity of notation put $U_1 = (0,T)\times U$. By Proposition 2.4, there exist $h > 0$ and $F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x) \in \mathcal{C}\left(\overline{U_1}\right)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that

$$f = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \partial_t^\alpha \partial_x^\beta F_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{(\alpha!\beta!)^{2s}}{h^{2(\alpha+|\beta|)}} \|F_{\alpha,\beta}\|^2_{L^\infty(\overline{U_1})} < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $E = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^2,h}(U)$. Let $C'_1 = 1 + \sup_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d} h^{|\beta|}/\beta!^s$ and put $C_1 = (1 + T + |U|)C'_1$. Let L_f be the mapping $\varphi \mapsto L_f(\varphi)$, $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T) \to E$ defined by $L_f(\varphi) = (\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta})_\beta$, where $\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}(x) = \sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha \int_0^T F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)dt$. We prove that it is well defined and continuous mapping. First we prove that $\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}$ is continuous function on $\overline{U}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\varphi \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, by (5.1), we can find $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sum_{\alpha+|\beta|\geq k_0} \frac{(\alpha!\beta!)^{2s}}{h^{2(\alpha+|\beta|)}} \|F_{\alpha,\beta}\|^2_{L^\infty(\overline{U_1})} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(4C_1)^2}$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is uniformly continuous (since $\overline{U_1}$ is compact in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$), hence there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $t, t' \in [0,T]$, $x, x' \in \overline{U}$ such that $|t - t'| \leq \delta$ and $|x - x'| \leq \delta$,

$$\sum_{\alpha+|\beta|=0}^{k_0-1} \frac{(\alpha!\beta!)^{2s}}{h^{2(\alpha+|\beta|)}} \left| F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x) - F_{\alpha,\beta}(t',x') \right|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(2C_1)^2}.$$

Hence

$$\left|\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}(x)-\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}(x')\right|$$

$$\leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^2,h}(0,T)}\left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha!)^{2s}}{h^{2\alpha}}\int_0^T\left|F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x)-F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x')\right|^2dt\right)^{1/2}\leq$$

$$\leq \varepsilon\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^2,h}(0,T)}$$

and the continuity of $\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}$ follows. Also, one easily verifies that

$$\left(\sum_{\beta}\frac{\beta!^{2s}}{h^{2|\beta|}}\left\|\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}\right\|^2_{L^\infty(U)}\right)^{1/2}\leq$$

$$T^{1/2}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^{(s)}_{L^2,h}(U)}\left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{(\alpha!\beta!)^{2s}}{h^{2(\alpha+|\beta|)}}\|F_{\alpha,\beta}\|^2_{L^\infty(\overline{U_1})}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $\left\|\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}\right\|_{L^2(U)}\leq|U|^{1/2}\left\|\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}\right\|_{L^\infty(U)}$, we obtain that L_f is well defined and $L_f\in\mathcal{L}\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T),E\right)$. Now, as $\mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T),E\right)\cong\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ denote by $\mathbf{f}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ the mapping L_f.

Now, Theorem 4.6 implies that there exists $\mathbf{u}\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$ such that $\mathbf{u}'=A\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$. Each element $\mathbf{g}=(G_\alpha)_\alpha\in E=\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'^{s}_{L^p,h}(U)$ generates an element of $\mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U),\mathbb{C}\right)=\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$ (see Section 4) by $\langle S(\mathbf{g}),\psi\rangle=\sum_\beta(-1)^{|\beta|}\int_U G_\beta(x)\partial_x^\beta\psi(x)dx$ and one easily verifies that the mapping $S:E\to\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$, $\mathbf{g}\mapsto S(\mathbf{g})$, is continuous. Hence, we have the continuous mapping $\varphi\mapsto S(\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle)$, given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)\xrightarrow{\langle\mathbf{u},\cdot\rangle}E\xrightarrow{S}\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U).$$

Since $\varphi\mapsto S(\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle)\in\mathcal{L}_b\left(\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T),\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)\right)\cong\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)$ (where the isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.1), denote by $u\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U_1)$ this ultradistribution. Then, for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$, $\langle u(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle=\langle S(\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle),\psi\rangle$. Since $\langle\mathbf{u}',\varphi\rangle=-\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi'\rangle$, for all $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$ we have $\langle u'_t(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle=-\langle u(t,x),\varphi'(t)\psi(x)\rangle=\langle S(\langle\mathbf{u}',\varphi\rangle),\psi\rangle$, for all $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Also, for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, since $\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\in D(A)$, $\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle=(G_{\varphi,\beta})_\beta\in D(A)$. Then, by the definition of A, $A\langle\mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle=(-\tilde{A}G_{\varphi,\beta})_\beta\in E$. Now, for $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle S\left((-\tilde{A}G_{\varphi,\beta})_\beta\right),\psi\right\rangle \\
&= -\sum_\beta (-1)^{|\beta|}\int_U \tilde{A}G_{\varphi,\beta}(x)\partial_x^\beta\psi(x)dx \\
&= -\sum_\beta (-1)^{|\beta|}\int_U G_{\varphi,\beta}(x)\,{}^tA(x,\partial_x)\partial_x^\beta\psi(x)dx = -\langle S(\langle \mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle),{}^tA(x,\partial_x)\psi\rangle \\
&= -\langle u(t,x),\varphi(t)\,{}^tA(x,\partial_x)\psi(x)\rangle = -\langle A(x,\partial_x)u(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\langle S\left(A\langle \mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\right),\psi\rangle = -\langle A(x,\partial_x)u(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle$ for all $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$. Moreover, observe that for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S(\langle \mathbf{f},\varphi\rangle),\psi\rangle &= \sum_\beta (-1)^{|\beta|}\int_U \tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}(x)\partial_x^\beta\psi(x)dx \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}(-1)^{\alpha+|\beta|}\int_{U_1} F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x)\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)\partial_x^\beta\psi(x)dtdx \\
&= \langle f(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, we used the definition of $\tilde{F}_{\varphi,\beta}$ and Fubini's theorem since $\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\int_{U_1}|F_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x)|\left|\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t)\right|\left|\psi^{(\beta)}(x)\right|dtdx<\infty$ by (5.1). Now, since $\mathbf{u}'=A\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{f}$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(0,T;E)$, for every $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\langle \mathbf{u}'(t),\varphi(t)\rangle = A\langle \mathbf{u}(t),\varphi(t)\rangle + \langle \mathbf{f}(t),\varphi(t)\rangle$ in E. Then $S\left(\langle \mathbf{u}',\varphi\rangle\right) = S\left(A\langle \mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\right)+S\left(\langle \mathbf{f},\varphi\rangle\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}(U)$. Hence, for $\varphi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)$, $\psi\in\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u_t'(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle &= \langle S(\langle \mathbf{u}',\varphi\rangle),\psi\rangle = \langle S\left(A\langle \mathbf{u},\varphi\rangle\right),\psi\rangle + \langle S\left(\langle \mathbf{f},\varphi\rangle\right),\psi\rangle \\
&= -\langle A(x,\partial_x)u(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle + \langle f(t,x),\varphi(t)\psi(x)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(0,T)\hat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U)\cong\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{(s)}(U_1)$ by Theorem 3.1, we obtain the claim in the theorem. □

Example. An interesting application of this theorem is obtained by taking $A(x,\partial_x)$ to be $-\Delta_x$ (Δ_x is the Laplacian $\partial_{x_1}^2+...+\partial_{x_d}^2$) and U to be arbitrary bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $-\Delta_x$ is strongly elliptic operator of order 2 on U. The above theorem then asserts that for $f\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left((0,T)\times U\right)$ the equation $u_t'-\Delta_x u=f$ always has solution in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left((0,T)\times U\right)$.

Example. If $U=(0,T_1)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ and A is differentiation in x, arguing as above, one can prove the following assertion: Let $f\in\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left((0,T)\times(0,T_1)\right)$. The equation $u_t'+u_x'=f$ always has a solution in $\mathcal{D}'^{(s)}_{L^1}\left((0,T)\times(0,T_1)\right)$.

References

[1] Arendt, W.: Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems. Israel J. Math. 59, 327–352 (1987)

[2] Arendt, W., Batty, C.J.K., Hieber, M., Neubrander, F.: Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. Springer, Basel (2011)

[3] Beals, R.: On the abstract Cauchy problem. J. Funct. Anal. 10,281–299 (1972)

[4] Beals, R.: Semigroups and abstract Gevrey spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 10, 300-308 (1972)

[5] Chazarain, J.: Problémes de Cauchy abstraites et applications á quelques problémes mixtes. J. Funct. Anal. 7, 386–446 (1971)

[6] Da Prato, G., Sinestrari, E.: Differential operators with nondense domain. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 14, 285–344 (1987)

[7] Hieber, M.: Integrated semigroups and differential operators on L^p spaces. Math. Ann. 29, 1–16 (1991)

[8] Komatsu, H.: Ultradistributions, I. Structure theorems and a characterization. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 20, 25–105 (1973)

[9] Komatsu, H.: Ultradistributions, II: The kernel theorem and ultradistributions with support in submanifold, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 24, 607–628 (1977)

[10] Komatsu, H.: Ultradistributions, III. Vector valued ultradistributions the theory of kernels. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 29, 653–718 (1982)

[11] Kostić, M., Pilipović, S.: Global convoluted semigroups. Math Nachr. 280 15, 1727–1743 (2007)

[12] Kostić, M., Pilipović, S.: Ultradistribution semigroups. Siberian Math. J. 53 No. 2, 232–242 (2012)

[13] Kostić, M.: Generalized semigroups and cosine functions. Mathematical Institute SANU, Belgrade (2011)

[14] Kunstmann, P.C.: Distribution semigroups and abstract Cauchy problems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351,837–856 (1999)

[15] Kunstmann, P.C.: Banach space valued ultradistributions and applications to abstract Cauchy problems. http://math.kit.edu/iana1/∼kunstmann/media/ultra-appl.pdf.

[16] de Laubenfels, R., Yao, F.: Regularized semigroups of bounded semivariation. Semigroup Forum 54, 43–57 (1997)

[17] Lunardi, A.: Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problem, Birkhäuser, Basel (1995)

[18] Melnikova, I. V., Filinkov, A. I.: Abstract Cauchy Problems: Three Approaches. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2001)

[19] Nagel, R., Sinestrari, E.: Inhomogeneous Volterra Integrodifferential Equations for Hille-Yosida Op- erators Dekker Lecture Notes, vol. 150, pp. 51–70. Dekker, New York (1994)

[20] Neubrander, F.: Integrated semigroups and their applications to the abstract Cauchy problem. Pacific J. Math. 135, 111–155 (1988)

[21] Pazy, A.: Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Springer-Verlag New York (1983)

[22] Phillips, R.: Perturbation theory of semigroups of linear operators. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 74, 199–221 (1953)

[23] Pilipović, S.: Characterizations of bounded sets in spaces of ultradistributions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 120 4, 1191–1206 (1994)

[24] Sinestrari, E.: On the abstract Cauchy problem of parabolic type in space of continuous functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 107, 16–66 (1985)

[25] Sinestrari, E.: Hille-Yosida operators and Cauchy problems. Semigroup Forum 82, 10–34 (2011)

[26] Schaefer, H.: Topological vector spaces, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin (1971)

[27] Schwartz, L.: Théorie des distributions I. Herman,Paris (1966)

[28] Schwartz, L.: Théorie des distributions á valeurs vectorielles. I. Ann. Inst. Fourier. 7, 1–141 (1957)

Stevan Pilipović
University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovića 4 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
e-mail: pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs

Bojan Prangoski
Department for Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering-Skopje, Karposh 2 b.b., 1000 Skopje, Macedonia
e-mail: bprangoski@yahoo.com

Daniel Velinov
Department for Mathematics, Faculty of Civil Engineering-Skopje, Partizanski Odredi 24, P.O. box 560, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia
e-mail: velinovd@gf.ukim.edu.mk